path of the internal conversion process. Since the favored conformation of 1,3-butadiene at room temperature is *trans* and since the singlet excited state is too short-lived to permit rotations, the bicyclobutane can come only from the *trans* molecules and the cyclobutene only from the *cis* molecules. Thus we can write



The predominance of cyclobutene over bicyclobutane in the products, in spite of the excess of the *trans* form of 1,3-butadiene in the reactants, may be due to the greater lability of the highly strained bicyclic compound.

The present method offers a convenient synthesis of bicyclobutane. The efficiency of the process is improved by saturating a 1% solution of 1,3-butadiene in ether with cuprous chloride before photolysis.⁷ On irradiation with 2537-Å. light from a circular light source⁸ for 4 days, 90% of the butadiene had disappeared. The conversion to cyclobutene was 30% while the conversion to bicyclobutane was 5 to 6%. The C₄ fraction was separated from the solvent by fractional distillation and each component isomer was further separated by gas chromatography. The conversion to bicyclobutane in the only step of this method is about a third of that of the final photochemical step in the earlier method.^{6,9} The present method may also be adaptable to the synthesis of certain derivatives of bicyclobutane.

(7) R. Srinivasan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3048 (1963).

(8) R. Srinivasan, *ibid.*, **83**, 4823 (1961). This light source is commercially available from The Southern New England Ultraviolet Co., Middletown, Conn.

(9) The conversion in ref. 6 was calculated from the statements that the decomposition of the diazo compound to yield nitrogen and C_4H_6 was quantitative and that the ratio of 1,3-butadiene to bicyclobutane was 5:1. The over-all yield from allylacetic acid appears to be 2.6%.

THOMAS J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER R. SRINIVASAN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP. YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NEW YORK

RECEIVED OCTOBER 21, 1963

Crystal and Molecular Structure of Metal Ion-Aromatic Complexes. I. The Cuprous Ion-Benzene Complex, C_6H_6 ·CuAlCl₄

Sir:

We are carrying out a systematic investigation of chemistry, absorption spectra, and crystal structure analyses of complexes of the type $C_6H_6 \cdot M^{+n}(AlCl_4)_n$, where M^{+n} is a metal ion. We wish to report the results of a crystal structure determination of the C_6H_6 ·CuAlCl₄ complex.¹ This compound was prepared in an evacuated system from dry benzene and anhydrous, resublimed cuprous and aluminum chlorides.² Single crystals of the complex suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were grown by removal of benzene and transferred into thin-walled glass capillaries which were subsequently sealed.

Solid C_6H_6 ·CuAlCl₄ was found to crystallize with 4 formula units per unit cell in the monoclinic crystal system: unit cell constants, a = 8.59, b = 21.59, c = 6.07 Å., $\beta = 93^\circ$; space group P2₁/n. By standard

(1) E. I. Amma and R. Turner, Abstracts, 144th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, 1.os Angeles, Calif., April, 1963, paper 30, p. 12K.

 $(2)\,$ Preparation, chemical, and physical properties will be reported in detail at a later date.

three-dimensional Weissenberg photographic techniques, 1200 independent *hkl* intensity measurements were made with Mo K α radition. The correct interpretation of the three-dimensional Patterson function gave the positions of the Cu, 4 Cl, and Al atoms. The carbon atoms were located by three-dimensional Fourier methods. Full matrix anisotropic least-squares refinement was carried out to a final reliability index, $R_1 = \Sigma |F_o - F_c| / \Sigma |F_o|$, of 0.139.³ A final threedimensional difference map did not indicate any unusual features.

The crystal structure is made up of infinite zigzagging sheets composed of tetrahedral Cu(I) and $AlCl_4$. These sheets extend indefinitely normal



to the *ab* plane and the interaction between sheets, in terms of interatomic distances, is only of van der Waals type. The Cu(I) ion is bonded to Cl atoms of three different AlCl₄⁻ tetrahedra with Cu-Cl bond lengths of 2.36, 2.40, and 2.56 Å. (distance expected from sum of normal covalent radii would be 2.34 Å.). The benzene ring with Cu to center of nearest C-C bond distance of 2.13 Å. completes the coordination about the Cu(I) ion making it four coordinate. The geometry of the complex, bond lengths, bond angles, and errors can be seen in Fig. 1.

In contrast to the $C_6\dot{H_6}$ ·AgClO₄ structure⁴ where each Ag atom is bonded to two benzene rings forming an infinite chain of the type $-C_6H_6$ -Ag- C_6H_6

The charge-transfer rationale⁵ for the nonexistence of the C_6 symmetry $Ag^+ \cdot C_6H_6$ complex $(Ag^+$ equidistant from six carbon atoms) is that the 5s acceptor orbital for Ag^+ is not of the correct symmetry species to interact with the benzene e_1 molecular orbital under C_6 symmetry. Further, the use of excited states of Ag^+ of the correct symmetry would involve 4 e.v. of excitation energy. The first of the above arguments would hold for a $Cu(I) \cdot C_6H_6$ complex as well, but Cu(0) has a relatively low lying ²D state, 1.5 e.v. above the ground state, and a C_6 complex might be possible in this case. The results of the structure determination show that this is not the case, and further, any theory that neglects the role of the $AlCl_4^-$ entity is far too naïve.

The $AlCl_4^-$ ions presumably function as electron donors as well as the aromatic ring to the Cu(I). The Al-Cl bond lengths are 2.13, 2.14, 2.15, and 2.07

(3) Least-squares calculations were performed with the Busing and Levy ORFLS Program and errors were calculated with the Busing and Levy ORFFE Program on the IBM 7090.

(4) H. G. Smith and R. E. Rundle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5075 (1958)
(5) R. S. Mulliken, *ibid.*, 64, 811 (1952).



Fig. 1.—Molecular configuration of the C_6H_6 ·CuAlCl₄ com lex (all distances in Å.): a, view down the "c" axis; b, end on view of the benzene ring.

Å. with the shortest Al–Cl associated with the non-Cu coordinated Cl atom. The Al–Cl distances observed in $Co(AlCl_4)_2^6$ varied from 2.11 to 2.19 Å., but here none of the Cl atoms could be considered as being co-ordinated to only an aluminum atom.

Not only is the Cu(I) ion not placed on the benzene sixfold axis, but it is also not equidistant from the two nearest adjacent carbon atoms, 2.30 and 2.15 Å. (distance expected from sum of covalent radii is 2.12 Å.). A somewhat similar situation exists in C_6H_6 ·AgClO₄ (Ag-C, 2.49 and 2.63 Å.), but in this case the unequal metal to carbon distances manifest themselves in what appears to be a statistical disorder. The distortion of the benzene ring is toward a cyclohexatriene system with one of the short C-C bonds nearest the Cu(I). Although this ring distortion is just over the edge of statistical significance, it is worth noting that for C_8H_6 AgClO₄ the C-C bonds closest to the metal ion were 1.354 Å. and the others were 1.427 Å. in length. In both these cases this bond shortening is opposite to what one might predict from simple MO or valence bond theory, and polarization forces may be the dominant factor in the bonding.

To a first approximation the Cu(I) could be considered as tetrahedral with three chlorine and one benzene acting as electron donors.

(6) J. 1. Ibers, Acta Cryst., 15, 967 (1962).

Acknowledgment.—We wish to acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (G-15566).

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY	R. W. TURNER
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH	E. L. Amma
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213	

RECEIVED OCTOBER 3, 1963

The Polymerization of Bovine Pancreas Carboxypeptidase A¹

Sir:

Only two proteolytic enzymes, chymotrypsin² and mercuripapain³ are known to form a rapidly equilibrating, polymerizing system in which double boundary formation, due to the presence of polymers higher than the dimer,^{4,5} can be observed in sedimentation experiments. This phenomenon has been observed only in *low* ionic strength buffers $(M = 0.05 \text{ to } 0.1)^{2.3.6}$

⁽¹⁾ This work was carried out under N1H Grant Number HE07297.

⁽²⁾ V. Massey, W. F. Harrington, and B. S. Hartley, Discussions Faraday

<sup>Soc., 20, 24 (1955).
(3) E. L. Smith, J. R. Kimmel, and D. M. Brown, J. Biol. Chem., 207, 533 (1954)</sup>

⁽⁴⁾ G. A. Gilbert, Discussions Faraday Soc., 20, 68 (1955).

⁽⁵⁾ G. A. Gilbert, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), **A250**, 377 (1959)

⁽⁶⁾ L. W. Nichol and J. L. Bethune, Nature, 198, 880 (1963).